I			١
1 2 3 4 5	Katherine Eskovitz (CA Bar No. 255105) Brianna Pierce (CA Bar No. 336906) ROCHE FREEDMAN LLP 1158 26th Street, Suite 175 Santa Monica, CA 90403 Email: keskovitz@rochefreedman.com bpierce@rochefreedman.com		
6 7 8	Constantine P. Economides (pro hac vice) (Florida Bar No. 118177) ROCHE FREEDMAN LLP 1 SE 3 rd Avenue, Suite 1240 Miami, FL 33131		
9 10	Tel: (305) 971-5943 Email: ceconomides@rochefreedman.com		
11	Joseph M. Delich (pro hac vice)		
12 13 14 15	ROCHE FREEDMAN LLP (NY Bar No. 5487186) 99 Park Avenue, Suite 1910 New York, NY 10016 Tel: (646) 970-7541 Email: jdelich@rochefreedman.com		
16 17 18	Counsel for Plaintiff, Ariel Abittan		
19 20	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT	
21	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
22	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
23			
24	ARIEL ABITTAN,	Case No. 5:20-CV-09340-NC	
25 26	PLAINTIFF,		
27		DECLARATION OF ABRAHAM ABITTAN	
28	V.		1
20	LILY CHAO (A/K/A TIFFANY CHEN, A/K/A YUTING CHEN), DAMIEN DING (A/K/A DAMIEN LEUNG, A/K/A TAO DING), TEMUJIN LABS INC. (A DELAWARE CORPORATION), AND TEMUJIN LABS INC. (A CAYMAN CORPORATION),		
,	DEFENDANTS,		
	and		
	PLANUADO INO		

- NOMINAL DEFENDANT.
- I, Abraham Abittan, declare as follows:
- 1. I am over the age of 18, reside in New York, am competent to testify, and give this declaration based on my personal knowledge.
 - 2. In or around 2017, I came to know Lily Chao (a/k/a



Tiffany Chen, a/k/a Yuting Chen) ("Chao") and her husband Damien Ding (a/k/a Damien Leung, a/k/a Tao Ding) ("Ding"; collectively, "Defendants") through my son, Ariel Abittan, and their evolving business ventures.

- 3. In or around July 2018, based on material statements made by Defendants about the strength of the Findora project and the investments already in place, my friends and family invested substantial sums of money in Findora. When promised returns were not made, I began speaking directly with Defendants about the business.
- 4. Around that same time, I also became aware that Defendants were using my son's credit cards for their personal expenses, and I communicated with Chao and Ding about paying off the balance of the credit cards.
- 5. I communicated with Defendants via text message or phone, and flew to meet them in person in March of 2019 to discuss the investor returns and credit card issues.
- 6. I always scheduled calls and meetings with Defendants via text message.
- 7. At all times, Defendants' primary mode of communication was text message.
- 8. I do not remember ever emailing with either Ding or Chao.
 - 9. I always texted Ding at (650) 382-9869.
- 10. I texted Chao at (650) 850-9036 and, most recently, at (650) 334-8837.
- 11. My last communication with Chao was on October 21, 2020 when we discussed the credit card balance, and Chao represented that she was going to send my son \$100,000 (despite owing hundreds of thousands more).
- 12. After this lawsuit was commenced, I continued texting Chao at both numbers, but she did not respond. When I asked her husband—Ding—why Chao was not responding, he always texted that it was due to illness or having a busy schedule. He never said that Chao had changed her number or made me think that Chao wasn't aware that I was trying to contact her.
- 13. Eventually it became clear that Chao was not going to return my texts or calls, and that Ding would be my primary contact going forward.
- 14. I believe that Ding was relaying all my communications to Chao.
- 15. Thus, I continued texting substantively with Ding at the same number for months after this lawsuit was commenced. In one instance, I texted Ding that we should leave it to the lawyers. Ding texted back: "Up to you."



16. Eventually I became frustrated with Ding's obfuscation, and I stopped engaging with him. My last communication from Ding was on March 14, 2021 when Ding texted that I was "ignoring" him.

17. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on September 20, 2021 at Lawrence, New York.

Abraham Abittan

(A)

DECLARATION OF ABRAHAM ABITTAN | CASE NO. 5:20-CV-09340-NC

n State (1) Age (1) and an experimental linear synthetic (1) Age (1) Age (1) Age (2) Age (2) Age (1) Age (1) Age (2) Ag (2) Age (2) Ag

The transfer was continued to the contract of the contract of

The property of the became clear type there is not not not come to be a come of the come o

The final particular from the matter of the commence of the

Manifestania de la recompania de la la recompania de la compania del compania del compania de la compania del la compania de la compania del la compania

Seminal (Available of Braussan is more).

and the state of